شـدـت
مجلة سنوية محكمة تصدرها كلية الآثار - جامعة الفيوم

SHEDEET
Annual Peer-reviewed Journal of the Faculty of Archaeology - Fayoum University

العدد الخامس (2018م)

Issue No. 5 (2018)
SHEDET

Issue No. 5 (2018)
Annual Journal issued by The Faculty of Archaeology, Fayoum University
ISSN: 2356-8704
Print ISSN: 2356-8704
Online ISSN: 2536-9954
WEBSITE: http://www.fayoum.edu.eg/shedet/

SHEDET

Fayoum, 2018
JOURNAL OF THE FACULTY OF ARCHAEOLOGY – FAYOUM UNIVERSITY

(SHEDET)

FOUNDED BY

THE FACULTY OF ARCHAEOLOGY – FAYOUM UNIVERSITY

The guidelines, the publications and the news of the journal is available online at

WEBSITE: http://www.fayoum.edu.eg/shedet/

© 2014—2018 Faculty of Archaeology – Fayoum University

2018, Faculty of Archaeology - Fayoum University. All rights reserved.

NON-COMMERCIAL REPRODUCTION

Information in this journal has been produced with the intent that it be readily available for personal and public non-commercial use and may be reproduced, in part or in whole and any means, without charge or further permission from the Faculty of Archaeology-Fayoum University. We ask that:

- Users exercise due diligence in ensuring the accuracy of the materials reproduced;
- Faculty of Archaeology – Fayoum University be identified as the source; and
- The reproduction is not represented as an official version of the materials reproduced, nor as having been made in affiliation with or with the endorsement of the Faculty of Archaeology – Fayoum University.

COMMERCIAL REPRODUCTION

Reproduction of multiple copies of materials in this journal, in whole or in part, for the purposes of commercial redistribution is prohibited except with written permission from The Faculty of Archaeology – Fayoum University. To obtain permission to reproduce materials in this journal for commercial purposes, please contact the Faculty of Archaeology – Fayoum University, Postal code 63514. Al-Fayoum city. Egypt.

E-mail: shedt@fayoum.edu.eg & editor.shedet@fayoum.edu.eg
**SHEDET**, Issue No. 5 (2018)

Annual Peer-Reviewed Journal Issued By The Faculty Of Archaeology, Fayoum University

**BOARD CHAIR**

**Prof. Dr. Atef Mansour M. RAMADAN**

Dean of the Faculty of Archaeology – Fayoum University

**THE DIRECTOR OF THE JOURNAL**

**Prof. Dr. Ibrahim SOBHI**

Vice dean for the post graduate and research
SHEDET, Issue No. 5 (2018)

Annual Peer-Reviewed Journal Issued By The Faculty Of Archaeology, Fayoum University

MANAGING EDITORS

Dr. Maher A. EISSA
maher.eissa@fayoum.edu.eg
Associate Prof. of Coptology/Egyptology

Dr. Ahmed AMEEN
ahmed.ameen@fayoum.edu.eg
Associate Prof. of Islamic Archaeology

EDITORS

Dr. Austin NEVIN
austin.nevin@ifn.cnr.it
Institute for photonics and nanotechnologies, National Research Council - (CNR-IFN), Italy

Dr. Abdelrazek EL-NAGGAR
abdelrazek.elnaggar@fayoum.edu.eg
Associate Prof. Restoration Dep., Faculty of Archaeology – Fayoum University

Dr. Ahmed MEKAWY
ahmed.mekawy@cu.edu.eg
Lecturer of Egyptology, Faculty of Archaeology – Cairo University

ASSISTANT EDITORS

Dr. Mohamed A. NASSAR
Egyptology Dep., Faculty of Archaeology – Fayoum University

Dr. Hamada Thabet
Islamic Archaeology Dep., Faculty of Archaeology – Fayoum University

THE SECRETARY

Dr. Said Sacid Zaki
Islamic Archaeology Dep., Faculty of Archaeology – Fayoum University
Advisory Board

Anne BOUD’HORS
Arianna D'OTTONNE
Atef Mansour M. RAMADAN
Austin NEVIN
El-Sayed MAHFOUZ
Ezzat H. QADOUS
Gamal A. MAHGOUB
Jacques VAN DER VLIET
Khaled EL-ENANY
Mahmoud EL-HAMRAWY
Matija STRIC
Mohamed ABDEL- HADY
Mohamed Abdel-Satar OTHMAN
Mohamed M. ALKAHLAWY
Ola EL-OGAZY
Philippe COLLOMBERT
Rafat EL-NABARAWY
Stefan HEIDEMANN
Stephen QUIRKE

IRHT – CNRS (Paris), France.
University of Rome, Italy.
Fyoom University, Egypt.
Politecnico di Milano, Italy.
Assiout University, Egypt.
Alexandria University, Egypt.
Fyoom University, Egypt.
Leiden University, the Netherlands.
Helwan University, Egypt.
Sohag University, Egypt.
University College London, UK.
Cairo University, Egypt.
Sohag University, Egypt.
Cairo University, Egypt.
Cairo University, Egypt.
Geneva University, Switzerland.
Cairo University, Egypt.
Hamburg University, Germany.
University College London, UK.

Views are presented in this journal do not necessarily reflect those of the Faculty of Archaeology – Fayoum University.
EDITORIAL FOREWORD

On behalf of the editorial board and the administration of the faculty of Archaeology – Fayoum University, we are proud to present the fifth issue of SHEDET (the Journal of the Faculty of Archaeology – Fayoum University). With this journal, we are opening a new era of scientific publication of Heritage and Archaeology in Egypt, designed to reach people all over the world, and to be judged according to international standards of excellence.

Presenting the fifth volume of SHEDET gives us – in the same context of our four previous volumes– happiness and challenge; happiness in being able to provide our readers with a volume of selected and refereed intellectual contributions, and challenge in trying to sustain this journal and provide publications of international quality. Of course help is needed from scholars and researchers all over the world in the field of heritage and archaeology, to be able to continue and sustain producing this publication. The continuation of this journal is vitally important, as it is one of the very few scientifically peer-reviewed journals dedicated to Archaeology in Egypt.

The main scope of the SHEDET Journal is various aspects of ancient Egyptian, Islamic and Coptic archaeology, conservation, museology, and heritage (concerning language, literature, history, art, and related subjects), before the modern period. It aims to publish research that contributes to the enlargement of knowledge or the advancement of scholarly interpretation.

Finally, we would like to thank all contributors to the successful publication of this new journal for their support and collegial collaboration, and express our hopes for more successful issues to come. We must also thank all the editorial team, language editors, and advisory board for all their efforts.

Prof. Dr. Atef Mansour & Prof. Dr. Ibrahim Sobhi
Fayoum, 2018
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ABSTRACT

The history of many places in Greece have, generally spoken, a big "Black Hole" as the Greek historian Stephanos Gerasimos called it. The biggest hole is in the four or five centuries of their history in the Ottoman centuries. This is not only the case in Greece but also in the literature produced in Western countries. Famous Encyclopaedians like the German Brockhaus Enzyklopädie might have cited the so-called "Black Hole" as the western traveller found to their entrance. Neither should also be remembered that as a rule nobody was refused and人人都有权利进入Archive of the Topkapi Palace in Istanbul which contains administrative records about the island. It begins with a two page survey of the main outlines of the history of the great Greek island of Lesbos, also known as Mytilene. It is followed by a short overview of the Ottoman administrative records about the island. The central piece is a large document found in the still difficult to enter Archive of the Topkapi Palace in Istanbul which describes in details the functioning of the greatest Ottoman foundation on the island: the Medrese, Imaret and Derwisher convent all three accomodated in one monumental building in the middle of the great Castle of Mytilini. In three pages it gives an inside view of the functioning of the compound, how the staff was financed and especially the large amounts of foodstuffs (rice, wheat, vegetables, honey etc.) consumed day to day and gives a representative view of an institution that played such an important role in the social contact of the island's population. We should not forget that on the island were a number of these soupkitches. The Imaret founded by the Lady Üm Gülsüm in 1792 distributing food and new dresses for poor children and other festivities. (B.O.A. "Vakfiyeler, Dosya 1, Gömlek 29") It should also be remembered that as a rule nobody was refused entrance, neither "rich or poor, Muslim, Christian, Jew or pagan" as the western traveller found to their great astonishment.
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* - This article was dedicated to Professor Halil İnalcık; it was previously submitted to a volume of studies dedicated to him but it never appeared.

AL-FAYOUM UNIVERSITY: FACULTY OF ARCHAEOLOGY
INTRODUCTION

In the multi-millennium history of the Greek island of Lesbos/Mytilene (Midilli to the Ottomans) the time it was part of the Ottoman Empire was but a transitory episode, although lasting 450 years. The population of the island, which in the early 17th century reached over 50,000 souls, remained for three quarters or more Greek Christian. Yet the fact that the Muslims of the island supported among them a Sufi order as high-principled and sophisticated as the Mevleviye Order tells us a lot of the character of that community.\(^1\)

Knowledge of Midilli’s political and economic history, the changes in its settlement pattern, and the cultural development of its Muslim and Christian communities will not change our understanding of the Otto-man Empire it will only deepen it, reflecting as it does the situation on the periphery, where various influences blend. Moreover, it is in the outlying provinces, rather than in the core of an empire, that we can see the strength of its civilization. We therefore thought it suitable to devote a few pages to the little-researched Ottoman period (1462-1912) of Sappho’s island, and dedicate them to Halil İnalcık, who did so much to improve our knowledge and understanding of the grand old empire, in general and in detail.

Before we (Dimitris Karidis and Machiel Kiel)\(^2\) started the work on the economic changes and development of the island’s population and the works of Ottoman architecture left behind the Ottoman Period was very little was known. In the article “Midilli” in the authoritative Encyclopaedia of Islam (Leiden, Brill publishers) the period between the siege of the town of Mytilini by a Christian fleet of 200 ships under command of Count Ravenstein in 1501 until the Greek Struggle for Independence (1821-1830) not a single word can be found (!) With the book “Mytilinis Astygraphia kai Lesvos Chronografia” Athens 2000, the two above mentioned authors filled a void of more than three centuries in broad outlines, enough still needs to be done to make the picture complete.

The great island of Lesbos/Mytilene (75 km long from east to west and 50 from north to south) is situated opposite of the coast of north-western Anatolia well within sight of the mainland. From the old Anatolian port of Ayazmend (now: Altınova) to Mytilene town is only an hour and a half sailing, from Bahram Kale to the second historical town of the island, Molyvos/Molova, even less (the distance is but 8 km). In fact, the settlement pattern and economy of the island was throughout history, oriented towards Anatolia, “turning its back” to the Greek mainland far away across the Aegean, the eastern part of the island being the most densely inhabited the western part was almost devoid of human population.

In the 12\(^{th}\) century BC the island was colonised by the Greeks. It reached the peak of power

---

\(^1\) - The Mevlevihâne of Midilli is mentioned in the survey of the geographical spread of the order, given by Albülbaki Gölparlı in his classic work “Mevlanâ’dan sonra Mevlevilik” Istanbul 1953 (and further editions), pp. 334-335. More on the history of the Midilli convent is given in the work of the historian of the order, Sakib Mustafa Dede, “Sefine-i nefise-i Mevleviyân,” written before 1735 and printed in Cairo 1283 (1866/67). A number of Ottoman documents, attesting the existence of the order on the island, are given by M. Kiel, “Quellen zur Geschichte des Mevlevi-häne von Midilli/Lesbos” in print, where documents from 1725, 1776 and 1827 and 1840 are given. For details on many other Mevlevi-hânes, their architecture and their history, see: Osmanlı Araştırmaları-Journal of Ottoman Studies, XIV, Istanbul, 1994, an issue especially devoted to this topic.

\(^2\) - Δ.Ν. Καρύδη and M. Kiel. Μυτιλήνης αστυγραφία και Λέσβου χωρογραφία, 15\(^{ο}\)-19\(^{ο}\) αι., με βάση αδημοσίευτες οθωμανικές και γαλλικές ιστορικές πηγές. Αθήνα, 2000.
and prosperity in the 6th century BC under the local tyrant Pittakos. The vast circumference of the antique town walls of Mytilene and Methymna (Molova) still indicate the dense population the island must have had in that time. After the downfall of the Ancient World, the general decline and disappearance of population, the depredations of the Arab fleets, later followed by those of the Turkish Emir Çaka, led, as everywhere in the Aegean, to large-scale depopulation.

An Ottoman map of Lesvos/Mytilene Island “Cezair-i Bahr-i Sefid” shows Streams, Mountains and Settlements BOA, Haritalar-haritalar (HRT.h...), Gömlek no: 267, H. 28 Ramazan 1313 (M. 13 Mart 1896). Scale 1/100000

LESVOS/MYTILENE UNDER THE OTTOMANS

The magnitude of the changes is shown in the size of the Byzantine-Genoese successor settlements of the towns of Mytilene and Methymna, which occupy only a fraction of the

---


4 - For Molova/Molyvos/Methymna, see in detail: H.G. Buchholz, Methymna, Archäologische Beiträge zur Topographie und Geschichte von Nord-Lesbos, Mainz 1975. A good plan of the town in late-Ottoman period is given by R. Koldewey, Die antiken Bauereste der Insel Lesbos, Berlin 1890. A map showing the outlines of the antique city walls of Mytilene on the plan of the modern town is given by Helmut Scharf, Lemnos, Lesbos, Chios, Samos, Ikaria und die Dodekanes, Freiburg in Br. 1988, p. 57.

5 - For the Byzantine period of the island in general, see the rich work of Elisabeth Malamut, Les Iles de l’Empire Byzantine, VIIIe-XIIe Siècles, Paris 1988, (2 vols). For Çaka Bey, see the article of the same name in Türkiye Diyanet Vakıf İslâm Ansiklopedisi, VIII, Istanbul 1993, pp.186-188 by Mücteba İlgiürel.
settlements of Antiquity. After a brief occupation by Emir Çaka (1085-99), the island returned to the Byzantines, who in 1335 gave it to their Genoese allies, the family of Gatteluzzi. The latter ran the island till 1462, when they were driven out by the Ottomans. The core of the present day castles of Mytilene town and Molova go back to the time of the Gatteluzzi, proof of which is still visible in numerous inscriptions on the walls. After the conquest of Constantinople in 1453, the Ottomans could hardly be expected to tolerate a naval base of one of the strongest Western maritime powers almost immediately at the entrance of the Dardanelles. A pretext was found in 1462 when the petty Gatteluzzi princes, vassals of the sultan, were engaged in exterminating each other. In the spring of that year the Ottoman fleet under Mahmud Pasha and the army under the command of the sultan himself took the town after a short siege. The story is recorded by the Ottoman chroniclers Aşık Paşa-zade, Oruç, Bihişti, Neşri or Kemal Paşa-zade, by sultan Mehmed's Greek eulogiser Kritobulos of the neighbouring island of Imbros, as well as by the Byzantine historians Ducas and Chalkokondylas, among others. Most sources agree that a part of the local majority was left where it was. Most of the castles of the island seem to have been dismantled after the conquest. Only Mytilene itself and Molova were kept intact and repaired, both receiving an Ottoman garrison; that of Mytilene-town stood in 1521 at 190 men, including 15 gunners. Molova's garrison was smaller, as was its castle.

Immediately after the conquest the island was organised as a separate sandjak divided into two kazas, Midilli and Molova, the first one containing the Southeast of the island, the latter the Northwest. Only in the 19th century was the kaza of Plomari added, grouped around the harbour city of Plomari, which had seen a rapid development since perhaps 1800.

---

7 - First of all the large castles of Eressos and Agioi Theodoroi. For these two and for the other, much smaller castles of the island, see the monograph of Stratis Al. Molinos, Kastra kai Kastrellia, Athens 1984.
The Medrese and Imaret of Hayreddin Barbarossa on the Island of Lesbos/Midilli -

In both towns the conquerors confiscated one church which was transformed into a mosque by adding a minaret, mihrab and minbar. None of these early buildings have survived; Lesbos lies in a zone characterised by heavy earthquakes. Whereas other great Greek islands (Chios, Rhodes, Crete, Paros, Naxos, etc.) still abound with many, often beautiful Byzantine churches, the great and rich island of Lesbos has only two or three left over from this time. What was not destroyed by earthquakes was swept away during the very prosperous 19th century, when the Greek community exerted itself in the erection of numerous large new churches on the sites of the demolished old ones. In the case of Midilli-town, Sultan Mehmed's church-mosque was found in recent decades in the course of the Canadian excavations of Hector Williams. Under the ruins of the mid-19th century Kale Camii (Fig. 1), destroyed after 1912, the foundations of a large three-isled building were found, which was identified as the Roman Catholic cathedral of the Gatteluzzi Lords of the island. At its north-eastern angle, flanking the choir of the old church, the substructure of a large minaret was found. This cannot be anything other than Fatih Sultan Mehmed's mosque, the old basilica, large enough to accommodate the Ottoman garrison and the small Muslim population of the early decades.

There are no numbers for the size of the population of the town of Mytilene from the pre-Ottoman time, nor for the whole island, a feature common to most places in the Eastern Mediterranean. Only the size of the old walled town of Midilli gives us an indication. This old town is situated on a peninsula which is surrounded by the sea on three sides. In Antique and mediaeval times it was cut off from the mainland by a short, narrow canal which connected the South Harbour with the North Harbour of the town. It was the site of the antique Acropolis of Mytilene. In the early Ottoman period the canal was filled in, whereby the castle was directly connected with the rest of the island. The Main Bazar Street of Mytilene town was built upon the old canal. The old walled Byzantino/Genoese town was divided in three parts: the small Inner Castle on the highest spot, accommodating the Palace of the Gatteluzzi Lords, the Upper Castle on the sloping plateau, and the much smaller Lower Castle, all three sections separated from each other by dividing walls. The whole complex covers a space of roughly 200 x 500 m. applying the usual formula for a medium urban density of 150 inhabitants per hectare we arrive at a population of about 1500 souls. To them should be added a few hundred in the open suburb on the plain grounds on the other side of the old canal, together giving a maximum of 2000 souls. For time and place this was a respectable, medium-sized town. When we take into account that in the 16th century the household number of the town gravitated around 500-600 households we arrive at roughly the same population size.

There is a large body of written sources, all in Ottoman, on the size of the population of the town and the island but this wealth has hitherto barely been touched. The oldest records, the census and taxation survey carried out immediately after the conquest is apparently lost. The first we have is the register of the poll tax of 1491/92. This is followed by the Muhasebe Deftir T.D. 367 of 1530, which contains the principal data of the first survey made under Süleyman the Magnificent, thus from 1521/22. Then follow the Mufassal Tahrirs of 1548, 1581, and 1671, all in the Prime Minister's Archive in Istanbul, and finally the Tahrir from 1709, kept in Ankara. In between them is a number of Poll Tax and ‘Avariz records, partly preserved in Istanbul (BOA) and partly in the Sofia National Library. Together they allow us to sketch the rough outlines of the demographic history of the island. The series of Ottoman records are closed by the Salnâmes of the late 19th and early 20th century supported by local Greek sources, such as S. Taxis' "Synoptiki Istoria kai..."
They give us the following picture:

**TABLE I. The population of the Island of Mytilene/Midilli 1488–1900**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Christian Households</th>
<th>Muslim Households</th>
<th>Proximate Total Population</th>
<th>Percentage Muslims</th>
<th>Source Name/ num.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1488</td>
<td>4952</td>
<td>(400)</td>
<td>26200</td>
<td>7.47%</td>
<td>Todorov/Velk.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1491</td>
<td>5287</td>
<td>(420)</td>
<td>26800</td>
<td>7.36%</td>
<td>Barkan/Ciz.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1521</td>
<td>7327</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>36730</td>
<td>8.25%</td>
<td>T.D. 367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1548</td>
<td>7690</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>39580</td>
<td>9.50%</td>
<td>T.D. 264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1581</td>
<td>8850</td>
<td>1331</td>
<td>46808</td>
<td>13.07%</td>
<td>T.D. 598</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1602</td>
<td>9785</td>
<td>(1715)</td>
<td>52900</td>
<td>14.91%</td>
<td>MAD 14773 MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1644</td>
<td>7510</td>
<td>(1650)</td>
<td>39400</td>
<td>18.01%</td>
<td>MAD 15249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1671</td>
<td>7500</td>
<td>(1660)</td>
<td>32900</td>
<td>18.12%</td>
<td>T.D. 803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1707</td>
<td>7700</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>33000</td>
<td>17.91%</td>
<td>Kepeci2606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1831</td>
<td>49270 Inhab.</td>
<td>11894 Inhab.</td>
<td>61164 Inhab.</td>
<td>19.45%</td>
<td>Karal,Nüfus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1900</td>
<td>16400</td>
<td>3560</td>
<td>97800</td>
<td>17.84%</td>
<td>Taxis, Sinop</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The rapid population growth of the 16th century, the crisis of the 17th century and the even more rapid growth of the later period (1488–1602 a yearly growth of 0.32%, 1707–1900, 0.56%) are clearly visible. A severe break in the 19th century expansion was the terrible epidemic of plague of 1836, when more than 25000 people are said to have died, the “Megálo thanatikó” (Taxis).

Research and composition: M. Kiel '97.

**HAYREDDIN BARBAROSSA PASHA & HIS ARCHITECTURAL WORKS IN MYTILENE**

Among the first Turkish settlers of Midilli must have been the father of the famous corsair, adventurer and later Admiral of the Ottoman fleet, Hayreddin Barbarossa. Several contemporary documents, such as the foundation charter of his pious foundations in Istanbul from 1538, call this man Yakub Ağá, who came from the formerly important Ottoman provincial centre Yenice-i Vardar in Macedonia, west of Saloniki. This is recorded by Seyyid Muradi, his biographer, who recorded it out of Hayreddin's mouth. Hayreddin must have been born about 1478. After his adventurous career as ruler of Algiers, and after having been appointed as Ottoman Kapudan Pasha, he not only cared for the creation of a college for higher Islamic learning in Istanbul, next to his still standing türbe in Beşiktaş, but also wished to promote Islamic culture among the few hundred Muslim families of his native Midilli. There, in the middle of the old walled town, a hundred yards down from the Mosque of the Conqueror, he ordered the construction of a monumental building which still stands to this very day, in spite of the destructive forces of
nature, and especially of men. The building is visibly a work of the 16th century, of classical Ottoman architecture, although the influence of local workmanship is also evident.

Fig. 2&3. The Medrese-Imaret-Hankah of Hayreddin Barbarossa Pasha in Midilli “Mytilene” (A. Ameen 2016)
Written sources on the building seem to be scant. The Midilli foundations of Hayreddin are apparently not mentioned in the preserved Vakfiye, which only deals with his Istanbul buildings (medrese, mescid, mekteb, türbe in Beşiktaş and a hamam in the Zeyrek Mahalle in old Istanbul). The biographer of scholars, Nev‘i-zâde ‘Ata‘î is apparently the only Ottoman source to mention that Hayreddin made a medrese in Midilli.10 Other sources, however, suggest that it was a hankah (Khanqah), whereas we found a survey of the expenditure of the building from the year 1550 where the building is definitely called an “Imaret” (Topkapı Sarayı Müzesi, Archives, D. 1889). Perhaps it was all three at once. The building itself gives at least part of the answer. As to its date of construction there need not be any doubt. Hayreddin came back from Northern Africa and became Kapudan in December 1533, and he died in July 1546. Moreover, the biographies of the 16th century Ottoman Medrese professors as collected by Cahid Baltacı from contemporary biographies mention that Pir Ahmed of Karaman, better known as Piri Reis, was appointed as the first Müdderris of the Medrese of Hayreddin Pasha before he was appointed professor of the Beşiktaş medrese.11 Before his appointment in Midilli he had been the teacher of Hayreddin Pasha. According to ‘Ata‘î, Pir Ahmed ended his career with the lofty post of Kadi of Istanbul, where he died in 973 (1565/66) and was buried in Eyyüb. Pir Ahmed’s appointment at the Beşiktaş medrese in 1546 so that the date of construction of the Midilli medrese can be narrowed down to 13 years only; a date for the construction of the medresse in the late 1530’s seems the most likely. As no foundation inscription is preserved at the building and the Vakıfnâme has not shown up yet we have to content ourselves with these dates.12

Disregarding the Ottoman additions to the castles of Midilli and Molova, which we reserve for a separate study, the foundation of Hayreddin Pasha is the most important Ottoman construction still standing on the island. It presents itself as a two-storied building around a cloistered courtyard, dominated by a tall, domed classroom on one of the corners. The building occupies a rectangle, 21.70 x 19.00 meters with the greatest length along the narrow main street of the old town. The entrance gate and the classroom are also on the main street. A little to the south of it stands a massive 19th century Ottoman powder magazine “Baruthane” now restored by the Greek Archeological Service and serving as their depot. Still further south, towards the main town gate, are the ruins of the Kale Camii mentioned previously (Fig. 1). Opposite the building of Hayreddin Pasha are the extensive ruins of what must have once been the barracks of the Ottoman garrison. They are built in the style of the late 19th century. A few meters further down opposite the road is a small, rather low, single-domed structure built in the same style and technique as the main building of Hayreddin. It can hardly have been anything other than a mekteb (Primary School) belonging to the same foundation.

The main building shows the plan of an incomplete letter U. One enters the building through an eccentrically placed entrance in the front wall. To the right is a very ruined section with a long barrel-vaulted corridor which opens into two rooms, one vaulted and

12 - For the Vakfiye of Hayreddin Pasha for his Istanbul foundations, see: İ. Hakki Konyah, “Hayreddin Paşa Vakfiyesi,” in: Yeni Sabah Gazetesi, 24 Ağustos 1943. The original is in Vakıflar Genel Müdurlüğü, No 571, İstanbul Sânî Defteri, pp. 184-190. We were unable to see this important document. For a modern Turkish translation of it, see: Emin Yaktal, “Buğûk Amiral Hayrettin Barbaros’un Vakfiyenamesi,” in: Deniz Mecmuası, LVII, 375, Istanbul 1945, pp. 43-51. I would like to thank Prof. Kutgün Eyüppğiller of İTÜ for making this article available to me. It deals only with the Vakf of Hayreddin Pasha in Istanbul and not with Midilli.
the other covered with a wooden ceiling. Immediately to the left of the entrance, a flight of stairs lead up to the large, tall, domed room on the second floor of the building, and further, to the U-shaped arcade. The main room measures 5 x 5 m inside and is covered by a dome sitting on four pendentives. The walls of the room and all other parts are covered on the inside with plaster, or at least have been so. The way that the inner space of the main room is treated, its form and proportions, are typically 16th century classical Ottoman. The remaining part of the left wing of the building is occupied by three cross-vaulted cells, all equipped with cupboards in the walls and a fireplace. The rear wall of the building has five, similar rooms, all domed, and each one fitted out with a fireplace and cupboards. The room in the corner is also the third room of the left lateral wing. On the right lateral side there are only three rooms, making a total of ten rooms of which nine are domed (Figs. 3-4). Without doubt, the upper part of the building is the medrese of Hayreddin Pasha as mentioned in the 16th century sources. With a spacious dershane and separate rooms for the students, its plan shows nothing unusual, apart from the high blind wall which separates the courtyard from the street. In front of the student cells and the ground floor section runs a two story arched portico, the Ottoman four-centered arches resting on square masonry pillars.

Fig. 4. The Medrese-Imaret-Hankah of Hayreddin Pasha in Mytilene, a plan of the 1st floor (Orlandos, “O medreses tou Kastrou tis Mytilenes,” p. 122, Pl. 1)

The ground floor of the building definitely had a different function. The space underneath the classroom has a massive, square central pillar supporting two barrel-vaults. The adjacent room along the left wall is also equipped with an inner pillar. This pillar supports one barrel-vault and two much smaller flat cross-vaults. Moreover this second room has some large fireplaces, big enough to be used as a kitchen to cook large quantities of food. We can be sure that the room beneath the dershane of the medrese was the refectory of the institution. It is big enough to accommodate twenty people at once, and well lit by two windows in the outer wall. The remaining part of the left wing of the ground floor, the third
part, is occupied with one large, dark, rectangular barrel-vaulted room with only one window placed high up in the walls, and no fireplaces or cupboards. It can hardly be anything other than a storeroom/kilar. The three rooms together must have formed the Imaret as mentioned in the sources.

Fig. 5. The Medrese-Imaret-Hankah of Hayreddin Pasha “Mytilene”, the dershane & the rooms beneath it (A. Ameen 2016)

It is more difficult to determine the function of the remaining rooms on the ground floor. Three of them, flanking the storeroom along the rear wall of the building, are domed, and
have (or originally had) cupboards and fireplaces. They may have been additional student cells. The remaining rooms are rectangular, 2.60 meters wide and about six meters long. They have no fireplaces and are covered with barrel-vaults, not with domes. It could be suggested that they were the rooms for members of one or another Orthodox dervish order, most probably the Halvetiye, which were rapidly expanding in the early 16th century, and were a much sought-after instrument in the hands of the government to spread Islam in alien areas. Living an ascetic life they could do without fireplaces. The now ruined rooms in the corner to the right of the entrance must also have had a function related to dervish practices (sema’hâne ?); yet their present condition is too ruined to allow definite conclusions. As a whole, the way the building is set up argues for a multiple function of medrese, imaret (in the sense of soup-kitchen) and a hankah, as is clearly pointed at in the source we are going to publish here, where five “Sufîlar” appear, together with a "Şeyh-i Kebîr and a person called Mesih Baba. The Vakîfname of the foundation will have the last word here.

When working at the Topkapı Sarayı Arşivi we have been so fortunate to find a survey of the expenditure of the Imaret of Hayreddin Pasha of the year 1550 as mentioned above. It is T.S.M.A., D. No 1889, two large pages of text and a shorter note on the third page, giving us a detailed insight into how this institution functioned, and what was spent on food and salaries. The heading of the document reads as follows:

“This register describes the expenditure of the Imaret of the late Hayreddin Pasha on the island of Midilli from the beginning of the month Muharrem of the year 957 onward.” (20 January 1550).

Then follows a survey, kept month by month of the quantity and price of foodstuffs, given one by one: nân, guşt, pîrinc, kendüm, ‘asel, revgan-i zeyt, nemek ma’ piyaz, as well as a total of the monthly salaries. We give the contents of this survey in the form of a table to avoid endless repetition of the same words. The quantities of rice and wheat for the soup is given in keyl, that of honey and olive oil in okka (vuqiye). The quantities of wheat for bread, and meat are not given, only their prices appear. It is visible that in eleven of the twelve months the quantities of foodstuffs bought remained the same. The differences there are caused by the number of days the lunar month has: six months of 30 days, four of 29 days and two ( Şevvâl and Zûl-hicce) only 27 days. Only during the month of Ramazan (Ramadan) much more was spent on food (especially on honey and olive oil), to celebrate the holy nights of the month of fast.

It can be seen in the 1550 list that the price of one keyl of rice was 11 Akçe. Wheat was 12 Akçe per keyl. If the keyl of Istanbul was used here, (25,656 kg), which is unlikely, we would arrive at 64 kg of wheat per day for bread, 17 kg for the soup, and 26 kg of rice per day. In 1540, according to the Tahir Defter of the Livâ of Eğriboz, the keyl of Eğriboz and

---

14 - This, and many other details of the cultural history of the island of Midilli under the Ottomans, could be found in the 16-18 volumes of Kadi Sicills of Midilli, preserved in the Archive of Vakıflar Genel Müdurlüğü in Istanbul-Sirkeci, which unfortunately is closed for research. A nice task for the future.

Athens was eight *keyl* of Istanbul, or 208 kg. Its fixed price was, according to the same source, 50 Akçe. Both Eğriboz and Attica, the land around Athens, were, like Midilli, not very suitable for wheat growing. Thus their prices might have been roughly comparable. If this is so, the yet unknown *keyl* of Midilli must have been about four times less than that of Eğriboz and Attica, or about fifty kg. If we accept this rough calculation, the 75 *keyl* of wheat per month represent 125 kg of wheat per day for bread, (75x50:30), 33 kg of wheat for soup, and 50 kg of rice. Cooks usually reckon 100 gram of rice per person, per meal. When the Midilli Imaret distributed food twice a day, as was usual in these institutions, 250 persons could be fed daily. The staff of the building, including the dervishes, and the 12 students, totalled 26 persons. A large amount of food, therefore, could be spent on the poor, and on travellers. We leave it up to the reader to decide which measure would have been used. Even if the smaller unit of measurement is taken the amount of food prepared and distributed in this benevolent institution was considerable.

**TABLE II. Prices and Quantities of Foodstuffs for the Midilli İmaret in 1550**

T.K.S.M., D. 1889

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bread/Akçe</th>
<th>Muh.</th>
<th>Safar</th>
<th>Rebi I</th>
<th>Rebi II</th>
<th>Cem. I</th>
<th>Cem. II</th>
<th>Receb</th>
<th>Şaban</th>
<th>Ram.</th>
<th>Şev.</th>
<th>Zi'l- H.</th>
<th>Zi'l-Kade</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>675</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice/Keyl</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice/Akçe</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>1125</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat/Keyl</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat/Akçe</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honey/Okka</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honey/Akçe</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive Oil/Okka</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olive Oil/Akçe</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>162</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt, Onions/Akçe</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firewood/Akçe</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost</td>
<td>2591</td>
<td>2536</td>
<td>2675</td>
<td>2620</td>
<td>2645</td>
<td>2590</td>
<td>2635</td>
<td>2580</td>
<td>4281</td>
<td>2390</td>
<td>2335</td>
<td>2414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Salaries</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Extra Expenditure for Guests: honey, 24 Okka, 330 Akçe; Rice 9.5 Keyl, 130 Akçe; Olive Oil 26 Okka, 156 Akçe. Total for the guests: 621 Akçe.


Total Salaries: 14,400.

General Total: 46,991.

Initial available money (aşl mal) 48,198. Left over 1,207.

(Research and composition: M. Kiel '97.)
On the third and last written page of our document is a survey of the staff of the Imaret and their daily payment as well as provisions for a few dervishes, evidently also living in the building. The text reads as follows:

“Register describing the daily payment of the servants of the Imaret of Midilli. Year 957 (1550). Grand Sheikh, per day 7 (Akçe). Katip Sinan, per day 3, Sheikh of the Imaret, per day 3, Daily payment for the Sufis, 5, Cook, per day 3, second Cook, per day 3, Steward (Nakib) per day 2, Gate Keeper, per day 2, Honey Collector, per day 2, Noktacı, per day 3, Cellar Master, per day 2, Rice Cleaner, per day 2, Mesih Baba, per day 2, Repairer, per day 1.

Per Day: 40 (Akçe); Per Month: 1200.”

Thus a yearly total of 14,400 akçe was reserved for salaries for the staff and for the dervishes. In the kitchen alone 11 persons were appointed. Besides them there were two dervish leaders and very probably five common dervishes. The Medrese must have been run by a separate group of people. It is not, however, mentioned in our document, which only pertains to the Imaret/Hankah. As the medrese was classified as an “Otuzlu” (“Thirtier”); another 10,650 Akçe was spent on the salary of the professor, perhaps 2000 for his assistant and 4260 per year for pocket money for the 12 students living in the medrese cells. Thus altogether there was a further expenditure of almost 17000 Akçe per year for the medrese alone. Including the expenditure for food and salaries for the Imaret and the Hankah, the total yearly expenditure for the whole building was about 64,000 Akçe per year; for its time and place, a considerable sum. The money for the upkeep of the foundation must have come from outside the island, because in none of the three tahrirs of Midilli, made after the completion of the building in question (from 1581, 1671 and 1709), can a word about Hayreddin’s Vakf on the island be found. Here more research in the Ottoman archives is required, research which would shed more light on the cultural history of the island in general, and on the remarkable building of Hayreddin in particular.

OTTOMAN ARCHITECTURE HERITAGE IN MYTILENE

Hayreddin Barbarossa’s Medrese-Imaret-Hankah is not the only Ottoman monument still standing on the island of Midilli. In 1501, 1572, and 1644 important modernisation works were carried out on the two castles of the island, each marking a major military crisis, and each stage documented by Ottoman inscriptions. The town of Midilli still has four mosques standing, dating from the late-18th to the early 20th century.
Two Hamams also stand. Elsewhere on the island almost a dozen village mosques (among them the highly elegant Baroque mosque of Mesagros from 1821), and a similar number of Hamams and Ilıca's still stand. We have collected all the documentation about them and are currently working on its publication. The fact that such a remarkable building as that of the most famous Admiral of the Ottoman fleet could escape scholarly attention may serve as an illustration of how much still need to be done to reconstruct the cultural history of the former European provinces of the Ottoman Empire.\(^\text{15}\)

\[\text{Fig. 8}&\text{9. Çarşh Hammami “Mytilene”, (A. Ameen 2016)}\]

\(^{15}\text{ - An exception is the little pioneer study of the indefatigible Anastasis K. Orlandos, “O medreses tou Kastrou tis Mytilenes,” in: Imerologion tis Megalis Ellados, Athens, 1921, pp. 121-128, which I could use thanks to the courtesy of Prof. Dimitris Karidis, Athens. It does not, however, contain the date of construction of the building nor any remark on the identity of its important founder. The otherwise comprehensive Ekrem Hakki Ayverdi, Avrupa'da Osmani Mimari Eserleri, IV, Bulgaristan, Yunanistan, Arnavutluk, Istanbul 1982, leaves Midilli-Mytilene out completely.}\]
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