

Abstract

Jean Hambiton's Critical Approach Study in the *Genesis of authority*

This research revolves around Jean Hambiton's position on theories of the Genesis of authority in society. She rejected the theory of divine authority as it is more like metaphysical opinions that cannot be ascertained, and justifies the rulers to all their bitter and violent violations towards the subjects with that alleged legitimacy, as well as criticized the natural dependency theory that states that some people are naturally superior in their ability to manage and politics the group who are naturally lower than them, and Hambiton believes that this theory clearly and explicitly contradicts the correct theories of science; Modern biologists completely deny giving nature to a person a correct way of acting, working and managing other than others; The world does not contain any normative principles about who should rule. In addition to being devoted to the concept of slavery and racism, it also rejected the theory of perfection that is based on the idea: that the person who possesses political power is the one who has the knowledge and the superior experience through which they can achieve the good and happiness of society as is the case with Plato, as it is free from justice and equality Real and emphasizes only the policy of the elite. It also criticizes the theory of social contract with its two parts, whether approval by removing the ruler from the contract as is the case with Hobbes, or approval by agency as is the case with Locke