Fayoum University Faculty of Science Mathematics Department



# Studies on Some Classes of Analytic Functions Associated with Certain Operators

## A Thesis

Submitted in the Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

> In Pure Mathematics (Complex Analysis)

#### By

## **Ghada Mohamed Abd Elsattar ElSayed** B.Sc.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science- Fayoum University

2015

## Summary

The purpose of this thesis is to define and study properies for certain classes of univalent and  $p \not\in$  valent functions defined in the open unit disc  $\bigcup \square \uparrow p \not\in \boxtimes : |z| \square 1 \lor$  where  $\boxtimes$  is the complex plane. These classes are defined by using some linear operators, integral operators, Hadamard product (or convolution) and higher order derivative .

Let A denote the class of all functions of the form

$$\begin{array}{c} \bigoplus \\ n \bigoplus z \equiv \bigoplus \\ k = a_k z^k, \\ k = a_k z^k, \end{array}$$

which are analytic in U. Also let S denote the subclass of functions of A which are univalent in U. Further let T the subclass of S all functions of the form:

For two functions for and got in A given by $<math>got z = b_k z^k$ , k = c the Hadamard product (or convolution) f = got x is defined by

**Definition 1** [70]. A function f O O = S is said to be starlike of order  $\mathcal{E}$  if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\underline{z}^{\dagger}\mathbf{\hat{\Omega}}\mathbf{\hat{U}}}{\mathbf{f}\mathbf{\hat{U}}\mathbf{\hat{U}}}\right\} \stackrel{\text{(a)}}{\Rightarrow} \stackrel{\text{(b)}}{\Rightarrow} \stackrel{\text{(c)}}{\Rightarrow}$$

for some  $\mathcal{C} \quad \mathbf{0} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{O} \square 1 \mathcal{C}$  and for all  $z \square U$ . The class of all starlike functions of order  $\mathcal{C}$  is denoted by  $S^{\mathbb{C}} \square \mathcal{O}$ 

**Definition 2** [70]  $\cdot$  A function  $\bigwedge$  belonging to *S* is said to be convex of order  $\notin$  if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 = \frac{zf^{\dagger}\mathbf{OU}}{f^{\dagger}\mathbf{OU}}\right\} \stackrel{\text{(b)}}{\Rightarrow} \stackrel{\text{(c)}}{\Rightarrow} \stackrel{\text{(c)}}{\to} \stackrel{$$

for some  $\in \mathbb{O} \diamond \odot \square 1^{\mathfrak{c}}$  and for all  $z \blacksquare U$ . The class of all convex functions of order  $\in$  is denoted by  $K \square \mathbb{O}$ .

The classes  $S^{\circ}$  and  $K^{\circ}$  were studied subsequently by Schild, [74], MacGregor, [53], Jack, [38], Pinchuk, [68] and others.

One can see that

#### 

**Definition 3** [34]. A function  $f O \subseteq S$  is said to be close-toconvex of order  $O \Leftrightarrow O \subseteq I$ , if there exist a function  $g O \subseteq S^{\circ}$  such that

$$\mathbf{A} \left\{ \frac{\mathbf{Z}^{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}}{\mathbf{g} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}} \right\} \stackrel{\text{(a)}}{\Rightarrow} \stackrel{\text{(c)}}{\Rightarrow} \mathbf{Z} \stackrel{\text{(c)}}{\Rightarrow} \mathbf{U}.$$

We denote by  $C_{g}^{(\mathbf{Q})}$  the class of all close-to-convex functions of order  $\mathfrak{S}$  (see Goodman [34]). Also, we note that:

$$K \mathfrak{A} S^{\mathfrak{P}} \mathfrak{A} C_g \mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{O} \mathfrak{K} C_g \mathfrak{A} S,$$

where  $C_{\mathcal{G}}$  is the class of all close-to-convex functions (see Kaplan [44]). We note that:

#### $T^{\circ}$ (CUR $S^{\circ}$ (CUR T and C (CUR K (CUR T.

Goodman, [35] introduced and defined the following subclasses of K and  $S^{\circ}$ . A function  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  A is said to be uniformly convex (uniformly starlike) in U if  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq$  is in K $\mathcal{O}^{\circ} \subseteq$  and has the property that for every circular arc  $\mathcal{C}$  contained in U, with center  $\mathcal{I}$  also in U, the arc  $\mathcal{O} \cong$  is convex (starlike) with respect to  $\mathcal{O} \cong$ . The class of uniformly convex (starlike) functions is denoted by UCV and UST, respectively. **Definition 4** ([35],[52] and [71]). A function  $M \subseteq A$  is said to be in the class of uniformly convex functions, UCV, if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 = \frac{zt^{*} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{U}}{f^{*} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{U}}\right\} \approx \left|\frac{zt^{*} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{U}}{f^{*} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{U}}\right| \quad \mathbf{\Omega} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}$$

Further, a function IOOE A is said to be in the class of uniformly starlike functions, UST, if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\overrightarrow{z'} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{U}}{\mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}}\right\} \hspace{0.1cm} \vDash \hspace{0.1cm} \left| \frac{\overrightarrow{z'} \mathbf{\Omega} \mathbf{U}}{\mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{U}} \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\overset{\mathcal{A}}} \right| \hspace{0.1cm} \mathbf{\Omega} \hspace{0.1cm} \boxminus \hspace{0.1cm} \mathbf{U} \hspace{0.1cm} \mathbf{U}$$

The class *UCV* was introduced by Goodman [35] and Ma and Minda [52]. The class *UST* was introducing by Goodman, [36] and Ronning, [72]. One can see that

$$\mathbf{D} = UCV = \mathbf{z}$$
  $\mathbf{D} = UST.$ 

In [72], Ronning generalized the classes UST and UCV by introducing a parameter  $OR \diamond \odot \diamond 1$  in the following way.

**Definition 5** [72]. A function fOOE A is said to be in the class of uniformly starlike functions of order (c, USTOO), if itsatisfies the following condition:

Replacing  $\mathbf{M}$  in (3) by  $zt^{*}\mathbf{M}$  we have the condition:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 = \frac{zt^* \Theta \Theta}{f \Theta \Theta} \ll \Theta\right\} = \left|\frac{zt^* \Theta \Theta}{f \Theta \Theta}\right| \quad \Theta = 1; z = 0$$

required for the function  $\mathcal{M}$  to be in the class  $UCV\mathcal{M}$  of uniformly convex functions of order  $\mathcal{C}$ . One can see that

#### AUE UCVAU ZÃOUE USTAU

Kanas and Wisniowska [42] and [43] introduced the classes of  $\notin$  -uniformly convex functions ComUCV  $\oplus \text{Com}O$  and  $\notin$  - uniformly starlike functions ComUCV  $\oplus \text{Com}O$ , as follows:

**Definition 6** ([42] and [43]). A function  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{A}$  is said to be in the class of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{A}}$  uniformly convex functions,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathscr{A}}UCV$ , if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 = \frac{zt^{*} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}}{f^{*} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}}\right\} \hspace{0.2cm} \exists \hspace{0.2cm} \underbrace{zt^{*} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}}{f^{*} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}} \hspace{0.2cm} | \hspace{0.2cm} \mathbf{O} : z = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}$$

From (2), we can easily see that the class  $\bigcirc \not \leq UST$ , of  $\in$  uniformly starlike functions is associated with  $\bigcirc \not \leq UCV$  by the relation

Thus, the class  $\bigcirc \not \simeq UST$ , is the subclass of A satisfies the following condition:

**Definition 7** ([76], [62] and [10]). A function fOU A is said to be in the class of uniformly starlike functions of order  $\notin$ and type  $\notin$ ,  $USTOP \oplus OA \Leftrightarrow \oplus B1, \oplus 100$  if it satisfies the following condition:

**Definition 8** ([62] and [10]). A function  $\mathcal{A}$  is said to be in the class of uniformly convex functions of order  $\mathcal{C}$  and type  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $UCV\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{O} = 1, \mathcal{O} = 0$  if it satisfies the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{1 = \frac{zt^{*} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}}{f^{*} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}} \neq \mathbf{O}\right\} = \left| \mathbf{Z} \neq \mathbf{O} \right| \quad \mathbf{O} \neq \mathbf{O} = 1; \quad \mathbf{O} = 0; \quad \mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}$$

From (4) and (5), we have

We note that:

#### OUUSTO, 1 OF UST and USTOR 1 OF USTOR

#### MOUCVM, 1 OF UCV and UCVM 1 OF UCVM

For complex or positive real parameters  $\mathcal{Q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{Q}_{q}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{Q}_{q}$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} & \textcircled{P} & \rule{P} &$ 

$${}_{q}F_{s}\mathbf{G}_{q},\ldots,\mathfrak{G}_{q},\mathfrak{G}_{1},\ldots,\mathfrak{G}_{s};z\mathbf{G}_{s}\overset{\mathfrak{G}}{\textcircled{\baselineskiplimits}} \frac{\mathbf{G}_{q}\mathbf{Q}_{k}\ldots\mathbf{G}_{q}\mathbf{Q}_{k}}{\mathbf{G}_{q}\mathbf{Q}\ldots\mathbf{G}_{q}\mathbf{Q}_{k}}\frac{1}{k!}z^{k},$$

 $\mathbf{Q} \diamond s = ; s, q \in \mathbf{Q} \quad \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{Q} \quad \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{Q} \quad \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{Q} \quad \mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{A} , 2, \dots , \mathbf{Q} : \mathbf{Z} \in \mathbf{U} \ \mathbf{Q}$ 

where  $\mathbf{P}_{k}$ , is the Pochhammer symbol defined in terms of the Gamma function  $\mathbf{E}_{k}$  by

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q} = \frac{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{k}\mathbf{Q}}{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q} \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}$$

Using the function

.., @**0**: A **∠** A, bv

 $h_{\mathbf{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{Q}_q}; \mathfrak{Q},\ldots,\mathfrak{Q}; \mathbf{z} \mathbf{E} \, \mathbf{z}_q F_s \mathfrak{Q},\ldots,\mathfrak{Q}_q; \mathfrak{Q},\ldots,\mathfrak{Q}; \mathbf{z} \mathbf{Q}$ Dziok and Srivastava (see [29]) defined the linear operator  $H_{q,s}\mathfrak{Q},\ldots,\mathfrak{Q}_q;\mathfrak{Q},\ldots$ 

$$H_{q,s}(\mathcal{Q}, \dots, \mathcal{Q}_{q}; \mathcal{Q}, \dots, \mathcal{Q}_{q}; \mathcal{Q}_{k}\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$$

$$\blacksquare \mathcal{L} = \textcircled{\begin{tabular}{l} \begin{tabular}{l} \begin{tabu$$

where

$$\simeq_{k} \mathcal{W}_{1} \cup \blacksquare \frac{\mathcal{W}_{1} \vee \mathcal{W}_{2} \cdots \mathcal{W}_{q} \vee \mathcal{W}_{2}}{\mathcal{W}_{2} \vee \mathcal{W}_{2} \cdots \mathcal{W}_{q} \vee \mathcal{W}_{2}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{U} \swarrow 1}$$

For brevity, we write

$$H_{q,s}$$
  $\mathfrak{A}$   $\mathfrak{A}$ 

Specializing the parameters @, @, @, q and s, we obtain many linear operators studied by various authors (see Carlson and Shaffer [21], Hohlov [37], Ruscheweyh [73], Owa and Srivastava [66], Choi et al. [28], Noor [58], Cho et al. [24], Bernardi [18] and others).

Jung et al. [40] introduced the following one-parameter families integral operators

$$Q = Q = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left( \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathcal{Q} \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Q}} & \mathcal{K} \\ \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q} \\ \mathcal{Q}$$

and

$$I^{\oslash} A \mathcal{O} \cup \blacksquare \begin{cases} \frac{2^{\oslash}}{2^{\bigotimes} 0} \sum_{t=0}^{t} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{g} \frac{t}{t} \mathbf{O}^{\textnormal{eff}} A \mathcal{O} \mathbf{g} t & \mathbf{O}^{\textnormal{eff}} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U} \\ \mathbf{O} \mathbf{g} \mathbf{g} \frac{t}{t} \mathbf{O}^{\textnormal{eff}} A \mathcal{O} \mathbf{g} t & \mathbf{O}^{\textnormal{eff}} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U} \\ \mathbf{O} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{G} \mathbf{U} & \mathbf{O}^{\textnormal{eff}} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U} \end{cases}$$

For for A given by (1), we deduce that

and

From (7) and (8), it easily to verify the following identities:

and

$$z \left( I \overset{\textcircled{}}{=} h \Theta \right)^* \blacksquare 2 I \overset{\textcircled{}}{=} h \Theta \forall z I \overset{}{=} h \Theta \forall z I \overset{}{=} h \Theta \forall z$$

Putting  $\bigcirc \blacksquare v \odot \measuredangle 1$ ,  $\oslash \blacksquare 1$ , we note that

$$Q_{V}^{1} \bigoplus J_{V} \bigoplus I_{v} \bigoplus I_{z^{v}} \bigoplus_{0}^{z^{v}} t^{v \neq 1} \bigoplus I_{v} t^{v \neq 1}$$
$$= \sum_{k \neq v}^{\odot} \frac{v = 1}{v = k} a_{k} z^{k} \quad \textcircled{V} \odot \not = 1; z = \bigcup \bigcirc$$

where  $J_v$  is the Bernardi-libera-livingston integral operator (see, [28], [32] and [65]).

Let SO(U) denote the class of  $p \neq$  valent functions of the form:

and  $S_{\Phi, n}^{\Phi, n}$  denote the class of  $p \not\in$  valent functions of the form:

$$f O \cup \Box z^p \equiv \textcircled{\odot}_{k \ \ k \ \ \ b \ \ \ \ }}^{\mathfrak{S}} a_k z^k \ (p \ \ \ \ O),$$

which are analytic and  $p \not\in$  valent in U. We note that  $SQ(1) \subseteq SQ(1) \subseteq SQ(1$ 

Let  $T \oplus U$  denote the class of all functions of the form:

which are analytic and  $p \not\in$  valent in U. We note that  $T \cap O = T$ .

Let  $T_{\mathcal{P},n}^{\mathcal{P},n}$  denote the subclass of  $S_{\mathcal{P},n}^{\mathcal{P},n}$  of functions of the form:

We note that  $T_{\mathcal{P}}, 1 \cup T_{\mathcal{P}}$ .

Let *G* denote the class of meromorphic functions of the form:

$$\bigcap \bigcap \frac{1}{Z} \stackrel{\textcircled{\tiny (i)}}{=} a_n Z^n,$$

which are analytic in  $U^{\circ} \blacksquare U \setminus 10 \checkmark$  If  $g O \cup I = 0$ , be given by

$$g \bigcirc \frac{1}{Z} \stackrel{\textcircled{\tiny (a)}}{=} b_n Z^n,$$

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of fOU and gOU is given by

Denote by  $\mathscr{P}_p$  the class of analytic and univalent functions in the punctured disc  $U^{\circ}$  of the form:

$$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{U} \blacksquare \frac{1}{Z} \blacksquare \mathbf{a}_n z^n (a_n \exists \mathbf{U}).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{P}^{\mathbb{C}}$  denote the class of meromorphic  $p \not\in$  valent functions of the form

$$\mathbf{D} \mathbf{\Box} \stackrel{\square}{=} \frac{1}{z^{p}} \stackrel{\textcircled{\square}}{=} a_{k} z^{k \neq p} \mathbf{\Phi} \stackrel{\texttt{\tiny B}}{=} \mathsf{N} \mathbf{\Phi}$$

which are analytic in  $U^{\circ}$ . For  $p \blacksquare 1$  we have  $\P U \blacksquare \P$ . Let  $\P_p \P^{\circ}$  be the class of missing functions of the form:

$$f_{\mathbf{D}} \mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{z^p} \stackrel{\textcircled{\tiny (1)}}{=} a_k z^k \quad \mathbf{O} = \mathbf{U}^{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{U}$$

Using the generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_{q}F_{s}(\mathfrak{Q}_{1},...,\mathfrak{Q}_{q},\mathfrak{Q}_{1},...,\mathfrak{Q}_{s};\mathfrak{A})$  defined by (6), Liu and Srivastava [50] (see also Aouf [5]) defined the operator  $M_{p,q,s}(\mathfrak{Q})$  as follows:

$$m_p \mathfrak{P}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{Q}_q; \mathfrak{Q}, \ldots, \mathfrak{Q}_s; \mathbf{ZOE}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{P}_q F_s \mathfrak{P}_q, \ldots, \mathfrak{Q}_q, \mathfrak{Q}, \ldots, \mathfrak{Q}_s; \mathbf{ZOE}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{P}_q \mathcal{P}_s \mathfrak{P}_q \mathcal{P}_s \mathfrak{P}_q \mathcal{P}_s \mathfrak{P}_q \mathcal{P}_s \mathcal{P}_q \mathcal{P}_s \mathcal{P}$$

consider the linear operator

 $M_p(\mathfrak{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{Q}_q;\mathfrak{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{Q}_q;\mathfrak{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{Q}_q;\mathfrak{Q}_1;\mathfrak{P}_p(\mathfrak{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{Q}_q;\mathfrak{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathfrakQ)_q;\mathfrak{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{Q}_q;\mathfrak{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathfrakQ)_q;\mathfrak{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathfrakQ)_q;\mathfrak{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathfrakQ)$ 

which is defined by means of the following Hadamard product (or convolution):

 $M_p(\mathcal{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{Q}_j;\mathcal{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{Q}_j;\mathcal{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{Q}_j;\mathcal{Q}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{Q}_j;\mathcal{Z} \cup \mathbb{N}$ 

For a function  $for \mathfrak{S}_p \mathfrak{P}^{\mathfrak{l}}$ , we have

 $M_{p,q,s} \bigoplus \bigcup M_p \bigoplus M_p \bigoplus \dots, \bigoplus Q; \bigoplus Z^{p} \bigcup Z^{p} \bigoplus M_p \bigoplus M_k Z^{k}$ 

 $\mathbf{Q} \diamond s \equiv ; q, s \in \mathbf{Q}_0; z \in \mathbf{U} \mathbf{Q}$ 

where, for convenience,

This thesis consists of five Chapters.

### Chapter 1

This chapter is considered as an introductory chapter and consists of six sections:

In Section 1.1, some basic concepts of univalent functions are introduced.

**In Section 1.2**, definitions of uniformly convex (starlike) functions are introduced.

**In Section 1.3**, some linear operators associated with analytic functions are defined.

In Section 1.4, basic concepts of  $p \not\in$  valent functions are introduced.

**Definition 9.** [45]. For  $0 \diamond \oslash \Box 1$  and  $0 \diamond \not \boxdot \Box 1$ , let  $\curvearrowleft \oslash \not \boxdot$  denote a subclass of  $\odot$  consisting of functions of the form (12) satisfying the condition

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{Z^{\dagger} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}}{\mathbf{P} \swarrow 1 \mathbf{U} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U} = \exists Z^{\dagger} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}}\right) \stackrel{\circ}{\leftrightarrow} \bigcirc \mathbf{O} \stackrel{\circ}{=} \mathbf{U} \stackrel{\circ}{\vee} \mathbf{U}$$

Furthermore, we say that a function  $f \in \mathcal{F}_p \otimes \mathcal{F}$  whenever  $\mathcal{O}$  is of the form (13) and satisfying (16).

In Section 1.6, some basic concepts of  $p \neq$  valent meromorphic functions are introduced.

Chapter 2

This chapter consists of seven sections. The first section is an introductory section and contains the definitions of the classes  $S_n \mathbf{Q}, q, \mathbf{Q}$  and  $C_n \mathbf{Q}, q, \mathbf{Q}$ 

For function for the defined by (11), we define the classes  $S_n \mathbf{Q}, q, \mathbf{Q}$  and  $C_n \mathbf{Q}, q, \mathbf{Q}$  as follows:

$$S_n \mathcal{P}, q, \mathcal{O} = \left\{ f \in \mathsf{T} \mathcal{P}, n \mathcal{Q}: \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}}{f^{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q}}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \in \mathsf{U} \mathcal{Q} \right\},$$

and

$$C_n \mathcal{P}, q, \mathfrak{Om} \left\{ f = \mathsf{T} \mathcal{P}, n \mathsf{U}: \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 = \frac{z f^{\mathfrak{O} = \mathfrak{P}} \mathcal{O} \mathsf{U}}{f^{\mathfrak{O} = \mathfrak{P}} \mathcal{O} \mathsf{U}} \right) \quad \mathfrak{O} \subset \mathfrak{O} = \mathsf{U} \mathsf{U} \right\},$$

where, for each  $f \stackrel{\mathbb{P}}{=} \mathsf{T} \mathbf{Q}, n \mathbf{Q}$  we have

$$f^{q}$$
 TOUE ,  $q$  U<sup>p zq</sup>  $\not \equiv \bigotimes_{k$  II,  $q$  U<sub>k</sub> $z^{k zq}$ ,

and

$$\Re j \mathbf{U} = \frac{1}{\mathbf{O} \not \equiv j \mathbf{U}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \mathbf{O} \equiv \mathbf{0} \mathbf{U} \\ \mathbf{O} \not \equiv \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{O} \not \equiv \mathbf{U} & \mathbf{O} \not \neq \mathbf{0} \mathbf{U} \end{cases}$$

**In Section 2.2**, contains the definition of the class  $TC_m \mathcal{P}, q, n, \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q} n \in N_0$  (as follows:

**Definition 10**. A function  $\mathcal{M}$  defined by (11) and belonging to the class  $T\mathcal{D}, n\mathfrak{l}$  is said to be in the class  $TC_m\mathcal{D}, q, n, \mathfrak{A}$  if it also satisfies the coefficient inequality:

$$\stackrel{\textcircled{\tiny $\oplus$}}{\textcircled{\scriptsize $\bullet$}} \left(\frac{k \not a q}{p \not a q}\right)^m \mathbf{0} \not a q \not a \mathbf{OM}, q \mathbf{U}_k \diamond \mathbf{0} \not a q \not a \mathbf{OM}, q \mathbf{U}_k e \mathbf{0} e \mathbf{0}$$

In Section 2.3, growth and distortion theorems for functions in the class  $TC_m (p, q, n, \mathfrak{A})$  are obtained.

In Section 2.4, closure theorems for functions in the class  $TC_m \mathcal{D}, q, n, \mathfrak{A}$  are obtained.

In Section 2.5, extreme points for functions in the class  $TC_m \mathcal{D}, q, n, \mathcal{Q}$  are obtained.

In Section 2.6, modified Hadamard product for functions in the class  $TC_m (p, q, n, \mathfrak{A})$  are obtained.

In Section 2.7, radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity for functions in the class  $TC_m \mathcal{D}, q, n, \mathfrak{A}$  are obtained.

#### Chapter 3

This chapter consists of two sections. The first section is an introductory section and contains the definitions of the classes  $ST_n \textcircled{O} \textcircled{A}$ ,  $CT_n \textcircled{O} \textcircled{A}$  and  $UL_n \textcircled{O} \textcircled{A}$  and the definition of Hölder inequality.

Let **TOU** denote the class of analytic functions in U of the form:

$$\begin{array}{c} \textcircled{0} \\ \textcircled{0} \\ \textcircled{0} \\ \swarrow \\ \swarrow \\ k \end{array} \xrightarrow{\oplus} a_k z^k \quad \left( a_k \quad \exists \ 0 \ ; n \end{array} \xrightarrow{\oplus} \textcircled{0} \\ \textcircled{1} \quad \forall \ \blacksquare \ 12, 3, \dots \\ \checkmark \end{array} \right) .$$

Also we define the classes  $ST_n \mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{A}$  and  $CT_n \mathfrak{P} \mathfrak{A}$  as follows:

$$ST_{n} \textcircled{O} \textcircled{O} \textcircled{O} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f \end{array} T \textcircled{O} \textcircled{O} : Re \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \underline{z' } & \textcircled{O} \textcircled{O} \\ \overline{D } \end{matrix} \right\} & \textcircled{O} \end{array} \right\} \textcircled{O} \begin{array}{c} \underline{z' } & \textcircled{O} \textcircled{O} \\ \overline{D } \end{matrix} \\ \hline f \textcircled{O} \end{array} & \textcircled{O} \end{array} \\ ( \textcircled{O} \Leftrightarrow \textcircled{O} \boxdot{O} 1; \textcircled{O} \bowtie 0; z \end{array} \bigcirc \textcircled{O} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} d \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} d \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ and \\CT_{n} \textcircled{O} : \textcircled{O} \textcircled{O} \end{array} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} f \end{array} & T \textcircled{O} \textcircled{O} : Re \left\{ 1 \end{array} \\ \hline \underline{z' } \overset{\textcircled{O}} \textcircled{O} \\ \overline{f' } \textcircled{O} \end{matrix} \\ \hline f' \textcircled{O} \textcircled{O} \end{array} \right\} \overset{(}{\otimes} \left| \begin{array}{c} \underline{z' } \overset{\textcircled{O}} \textcircled{O} \\ \overline{f' } \textcircled{O} \end{matrix} \\ \end{array} \\ \\ ( \textcircled{O} \Leftrightarrow \textcircled{O} \boxdot{O} 1; \textcircled{O} \bowtie 0; z \end{array} ) \textcircled{O} \end{matrix} \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d \end{array} \right\} . \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array}$$

**Definition 11** [16]. For  $0 \diamond \bigcirc \boxdot 1$ ,  $\bigcirc \bowtie 0$  and  $0 \diamond \cancel{P} \diamond 1$ , a function  $f \boxdot \mathsf{TOC}$  is said to be in the subclass  $UL_n \textcircled{O} \oslash \cancel{P}$  of  $\mathsf{TOC}$  if the following inequality holds:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{Z^{\dagger} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U} = \mathcal{U} Z^{\dagger} f^{\dagger} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}}{\mathbf{O} \ll \mathcal{U} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U} = \mathcal{U} Z^{\dagger} f^{\dagger} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}} \ll \mathcal{U}\right\} \cong \left| \frac{Z^{\dagger} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U} = \mathcal{U} Z^{\dagger} f^{\dagger} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}}{\mathbf{O} \ll \mathcal{U} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U} = \mathcal{U} Z^{\dagger} f^{\dagger} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}} \ll 1 \right|.$$

**Definition 12** [17]. For  $p_i \cong 1$  and  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{p_i} \boxtimes 1$ , the Hölder inequality is defined by:

$$\stackrel{\textcircled{\tiny (i)}}{\textcircled{\scriptsize (i)}} \left( \begin{array}{c} m \\ m \\ j \end{array} a_{i,j} \right) \diamond \begin{array}{c} m \\ m \\ j \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} \textcircled{\tiny (i)}}{\textcircled{\scriptsize (i)}} a_{i,j}^{p_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}.$$

In Section 3.2, main results for functions in the classes  $ST_n \otimes \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $CT_n \otimes \mathfrak{A}$  and  $UL_n \otimes \mathfrak{A}$  are obtained.

#### Chapter 4

This chapter consists of two sections. The first section is an introductory section and contains the definitions of the classes  $R \stackrel{@}{=} \mathfrak{M}$  and  $T \stackrel{@=}{=} \mathfrak{M}$ 

**Definition 13.** A function  $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{A}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbf{A}$  if it satisfies inquelity

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{Q_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{O}}\mathbf{\Omega}\mathbf{U}}{Q_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{O}^{\ast}}\mathbf{\Omega}\mathbf{U}}\right) \stackrel{\mathfrak{O}_{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{O}}}{\underbrace{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{$$

**Definition 14.** A function  $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{A}$  is said to be in the class  $\mathsf{T}^{\textcircled{O}}$  if it satisfies inquelity

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{I^{\textcircled{o}}}{I^{\textcircled{o}}} \underbrace{I^{\textcircled{o}}}_{f} \underbrace{I^{\textcircled{o}}}_{f}$$

In Section 4.2, some inclusion relations of the classes  $\mathsf{R}^{\textcircled{O}}_{\textcircled{O}}$  and  $\mathsf{T}^{\textcircled{O}}_{\textcircled{O}}$  are obtained.

Chapter 5

This chapter consists of five sections. The first section is an introductory section and contains the definition of the classes  $\mathscr{P}_{p,q,s}(\mathcal{Q}; A, B, \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \mathscr{P}_{p,q,s,c}(\mathcal{Q}; A, B, \mathcal{H} \text{ as follow:})$ 

**Definition 15** [8]. For a function  $\mathcal{M} = \mathscr{T}_p \mathcal{P}^{\mathsf{L}}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{M}$  is in the class  $\mathscr{T}_{p,q,s} \mathcal{M}; A, B, \mathcal{H}$  of meromorphically  $p \not\in$  valent functions in  $\cup$  if and only if

$$\mathbf{O}_{A} \diamond B \square A \diamond 1; 0 \diamond \mathcal{P} \square p; p \blacksquare \mathbf{O}, z \blacksquare \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}$$

Let  $\mathscr{P}_{p} \mathfrak{P}^{\mathfrak{l}}$  be the subclass of  $\mathscr{P}_{p} \mathfrak{P}^{\mathfrak{l}}$  consisting of functions of the form:

$$f \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U} \blacksquare \frac{1}{z^p} \stackrel{\textcircled{\tiny \odot}}{=} |a_k| z^k \quad \mathbf{O} \blacksquare \mathbf{O} \mathbf{U}$$

Also let  $\mathscr{P}_{p,q,s}(\mathcal{Q}; A, B, \mathcal{R})$  be the subclass of  $\mathscr{P}_{p,q,s}(\mathcal{Q}; A, B, \mathcal{R})$  such that

The classes  $\mathscr{F}_{p,q,s}(\mathcal{Q}; A, B, \mathcal{H} \text{ and } \mathscr{F}_{p,q,s}(\mathcal{Q}; A, B, \mathcal{H} \text{ were introduced and studied by Aouf [8].}$ 

**Definition 16.** Let  $\mathcal{P}_{p,q,s,c}(\mathcal{Q}_1; A, B, \mathcal{H})$  denote the subclass of  $\mathcal{P}_{p,q,s}(\mathcal{Q}_1; A, B, \mathcal{H})$  consisting of functions of the form:

In Section 5.2, properties for functions in the class  $\mathscr{P}_{p,q,s,c}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{Q}; A, B, \mathcal{H} \text{ are obtained.})$ 

In Section 5.3, closure theorems for functions in the class  $\mathscr{P}_{p,q,s,c} (\mathfrak{P}; A, B, \mathcal{H})$  are obtained.

**In Section 5.4**, radius of convexity for functions in the class  $\mathscr{P}_{p,q,s,c} (\mathfrak{P}; A, B, \mathfrak{A})$  are obtained.

In Section 5.5, applying the technique used by Silverman [78], we investigate the ratio of a function  $fOO^{\text{T}} = \mathfrak{F}_p$  to its sequence of partial sums  $f_k OO^{\text{T}} \frac{1}{z} = \mathfrak{K}_{n \oplus}^k a_n z^n$ , when the coefficients of  $fOC^{\text{T}} = \mathfrak{F}_p O^{\text{T}} \mathfrak{K}$  are sufficiently small to satisfy the condition  $fOO^{\text{T}} = \mathfrak{F}_p O^{\text{T}} \mathfrak{K}$ . More precisely, we determine sharp lower bounds for  $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{fOO}{t_kOO}\right\}$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f_kOO}{fOO}\right\}$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f^*OO}{t_kOO}\right\}$ , and  $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{f_kOO}{fOO}\right\}$ .