GENERALIZED REVERSE DERIVATIONS ON SEMIPRIME RINGS © A. Aboubakr and S. González UDC 512.552.34

Abstract: We generalize the notion of reverse derivation by introducing generalized reverse derivations. We define an *l*-generalized reverse derivation (*r*-generalized reverse derivation) as an additive mapping $F: R \to R$, satisfying F(xy) = F(y)x + yd(x) (F(xy) = d(y)x + yF(x)) for all $x, y \in R$, where *d* is a reverse derivation of *R*. We study the relationship between generalized reverse derivations and generalized derivations on an ideal in a semiprime ring. We prove that if *F* is an *l*-generalized reverse (or *r*-generalized) derivation on a semiprime ring *R*, then *R* has a nonzero central ideal.

DOI: 10.1134/S0037446615020019

Keywords: semiprime ring, ideal, derivation, reverse derivation, *l*-generalized derivation, *r*-generalized derivation, *l*-generalized reverse derivation, *r*-generalized reverse derivation

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R denotes an associative ring with center Z(R). If I is a subset of R, then $C_R(I)$ denotes the centralizer of I which is defined by

$$C_R(I) = \{ x \in R \mid xa = ax \text{ for all } a \in I \}.$$

Recall that R is prime if aRb = (0) implies that a = 0 or b = 0. The ring R is semiprime if aRa = 0 implies a = 0 (obviously, every prime ring is semiprime). As usual, [x, y] denotes the commutator xy - yx. We will make extensive use of the basic commutator identities [xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y and [x, yz] = y[x, z] + [x, y]z. An additive mapping d from R into itself is called a *derivation* if d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y) for all $x, y \in R$. Given $a \in R$, the additive mapping $d : R \to R$ defined by d(x) = [x, a] for all $x \in R$ is a derivation called the *inner derivation* of R determined by a.

The notion of reverse derivation arose in one early paper of Herstein [1], when he studied Jordan derivations on prime associative rings. The notion of reverse derivation has relations with some generalizations of derivations. A reverse derivation is an additive mapping d from a ring R into itself satisfying d(xy) = d(y)x + yd(x) for all $x, y \in R$. So, each reverse derivation is a Jordan derivation (but the converse is not true in general). In the anticommutative case each reverse derivation is an antiderivation, and each antiderivation is a reverse derivation. The reverse derivations in the case of prime Lie and prime Malcev algebras were studied by Hopkins and Filippov. Those papers provided some examples of nonzero reverse derivations for the simple 3-dimensional Lie algebra sl_2 (see [2]) and characterized the prime Lie algebras admitting a nonzero reverse derivation is a PI-algebra. Filippov proved that each prime Lie algebras is prime Malcev algebras [5]. The supercase of reverse derivations (antisuperderivations) of simple Lie superalgebras was studied by Kaygorodov in [6] and [7]. He proved that every reverse superderivation of a simple finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero is the zero mapping. After that, Kaygorodov proved that every r-generalized reverse (or l-generalized) derivation of a simple (non-Lie) Malcev algebra is the zero mapping (see [8]).

The first author was supported by the Erasmus Mundus Programme for the financial support of the PhD MEDASTAR Program (Grant 2011–4051/002–001–EMA2). The second author was partially supported by Project MTM2010–18370–C04–01.

Fayoum and Oviedo. Translated from *Sibirskii Matematicheskii Zhurnal*, Vol. 56, No. 2, pp. 241–248, March–April, 2015. Original article submitted February 4, 2014.



Turkish Journal of Mathematics

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

Research Article

Generalized derivations on Jordan ideals in prime rings

Mahmmoud EL-SOUFI, Ahmed ABOUBAKR*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Fayoum University, Fayoum, Egypt

Received: 27.11.2012 •	,	Accepted: 27.01.2013	•	Published Online: 27.01.2014	•	Printed: 24.02.2014
-------------------------------	---	----------------------	---	------------------------------	---	----------------------------

Abstract: Let R be a 2-torsion free prime ring with center Z(R), J be a nonzero Jordan ideal also a subring of R, and F be a generalized derivation with associated derivation d. In the present paper, we shall show that $J \subseteq Z(R)$ if any one of the following properties holds: (i) $[F(u), u] \in Z(R)$, (ii) F(u)u = ud(u), (iii) $d(u^2) = 2F(u)u$, (iv) $F(u^2) - 2uF(u) = d(u^2) - 2ud(u)$, (v) $F^2(u) + 3d^2(u) = 2Fd(u) + 2dF(u)$, (vi) $F(u^2) = 2uF(u)$ for all $u \in J$.

Key words: Prime rings, Jordan ideals, generalized derivations, derivations

1. Introduction

Let R denote an associative ring with center Z(R). For any $x, y \in R$, we write the commutator [x, y] = xy - yx, and the Jordan product $x \circ y = xy + yx$. We recall that a ring R is called prime if for any $a, b \in R$, aRb = (0)implies that either a = 0 or b = 0; it is called a semiprime if aRa = (0) implies that a = 0. A prime ring is clearly a semiprime ring. An additive mapping $d: R \to R$ is called a derivation if d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)holds for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $F : R \to R$ is called a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation $d: R \to R$ such that F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y) holds for all $x, y \in R$. A ring R is said to be n-torsion free, where $n \neq 0$ is a positive integer, if whenever na = 0, with $a \in R$, then a = 0. An additive subgroup J is said to be a Jordan ideal of R if $uor \in J$, for all $u \in J$ and $r \in R$. One may observe that every ideal of R is a Jordan ideal of R but the converse need not be true. An additive subgroup U of R is said to be a Lie ideal of R if $[u, r] \in U$, for all $u \in U$ and $r \in R$. It is clear that if charR = 2, then the Jordan ideal and Lie ideal of R are the same. In [4] Huang proved: Let R be an associative prime ring with char $R \neq 2$, U a Lie ideal of R such that $u^2 \in U$ for all $u \in U$, and F a generalized derivation associated with $d \neq 0$. If any one of the following conditions holds: (1) [d(x), F(y)] = 0, (2) $d(x) \circ F(y) = 0$, (3) either $d(x) \circ F(y) = x \circ y$ or $d(x) \circ F(y) + x \circ y = 0$, (4) either [d(x), F(y)] = [x, y] or [d(x), F(y)] + [x, y] = 0, (5) either $[d(x), F(y)] = (x \circ y)$ or $[d(x), F(y)] + (x \circ y) = 0$, (6) either $d(x) \circ F(y) = [x, y]$ or $d(x) \circ F(y) + [x, y]$, (7) either $d(x) \circ F(y) + xy \in Z(R)$ or $d(x) \circ F(y) - xy \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in U$, then either d = 0 or $U \subseteq Z(R)$.

Motivated by the results of Huang, we continue this line of investigation. In this paper, we study generalized derivation F with derivation d if any one of the following conditions holds: (i) $[F(u), u] \in Z(R)$, (ii) F(u)u = ud(u), (iii) $d(u^2) = 2F(u)u$, (iv) $F(u^2) - 2uF(u) = d(u^2) - 2ud(u)$, (v) $F^2(u) + 3d^2(u) = 2Fd(u) + 2dF(u)$, (vi) $F(u^2) = 2uF(u)$ for all u in a Jordan ideal that is also a subring of R.

^{*}Correspondence: afs00@fayoum.edu.eg

This paper is a part of the MSc thesis under the supervision of Prof M.N. Daif.

²⁰¹⁰ AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W25, 16N60, 16U80.





Generalized derivations on Lie ideals in semiprime rings

Ahmed Aboubakr^{1,2} · Santos González²

Received: 21 August 2015 / Accepted: 17 May 2016 / Published online: 6 June 2016 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{O}}$ The Managing Editors 2016

Abstract Herstein (J Algebra 14:561–571, 1970) proved that given a semiprime 2torsion free ring R and an inner derivation d_t , if $d_t^2(U) = 0$ for a Lie ideal U of R then $d_t(U) = 0$. Carini (Rend Circ Mat Palermo 34:122–126, 1985) extended this result for an arbitrary derivation d, proving that $d^2(U) = 0$ implies $d(U) \subseteq Z(R)$. The aim of this paper is to extend the results mentioned above for right (resp. left) generalized derivations. Precisely, we prove that if R admits a right generalized derivation F associated with a derivation d such that $F^2(U) = (0)$, then $d^3(U) = (0)$ and $(d^2(U))^2 = (0)$. Furthermore, if F is also a left generalized derivation on U, then d(U) = F(U) = (0), and d(R), $F(R) \subseteq C_R(U)$. On the other hand, if (F, d), (G, g)are, respectively, right and left generalized derivations that satisfy F(u)v = uG(v)for all $u, v \in U$, then $d(U), g(U) \subseteq C_R(U)$.

Keywords Semiprime ring · Lie ideal · Derivation · Generalized derivation

Mathematics Subject Classification 16W25 · 16N60 · 16U80

Ahmed Aboubakr is grateful to the FPI Grant linked to the project MTM2013-45588-C3-1-P. Santos González has been partially supported by the project MTM2013-45588-C3-1-P.

Ahmed Aboubakr afs00@fayoum.edu.eg

Santos González santos@uniovi.es

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Fayoum, Faiyum 63514, Egypt

² Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Oviedo, 33007 Oviedo, Spain



Orthogonality of two left and right generalized derivations on ideals in semiprime rings

Ahmed Aboubakr^{1,2} · Santos González²

Received: 30 July 2018 / Accepted: 23 October 2018 © Springer-Verlag Italia S.r.l., part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

In this paper, we present some results concerning orthogonality of 1-generalized derivations and r-generalized derivations on ideals in semiprime rings, we also study the connections between orthogonality and some properties of the composition of a 1-generalized derivation and a r-generalized derivation. These results are related to results of Brešar and Vukman (Rad Mat 5(2):237–246, 1989), that extend a theorem by Posner (Proc Am Math Soc 8:1093–1100, 1957) about products of derivations on prime rings.

Keywords Semiprime ring \cdot Ideal \cdot Left generalized derivation \cdot Right generalized derivation \cdot Derivation \cdot Orthogonal generalized derivation

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 16W25 · 16N60 · 16U80

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper *R* denotes an associative ring. Recall that *R* is prime if aRb = (0) implies that a = 0 or b = 0. *R* is semiprime if aRa = (0) implies a = 0 (Obviously, every prime ring is semiprime). If *A* is a subset of *R*, l(A) denotes the left annihilator of *A*, defined by $l(A) = \{x \in R \mid xa = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A\}$. An additive map $d : R \to R$ is called derivation if it satisfies d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y), for all $x, y \in R$. For a fixed $a \in R$, the map $I_a : R \to R$ given by $I_a(x) = [a, x] = ax - xa$ is a derivation which is called inner derivation. An additive map $F : R \to R$ is called 1-generalized derivation if there exist a derivation $d : R \to R$ such that F(xy) = F(x)y + xd(y) for all $x, y \in R$ (notion introduced by Brešar [3]). It is

Ahmed Aboubakr is grateful to the FPI Grant linked to the Project MTM2013-45588-C3-1-

P(BES-2014-067827). Santos González has been partially supported by the Project MTM2013-45588-C3-1-P, and GRUPIN 14-142 (Principado de Asturias).

Ahmed Aboubakr afs00@fayoum.edu.eg; uo242478@uniovi.es

Santos González santos@uniovi.es

¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Fayoum, Fayoum 63514, Egypt

² Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Oviedo, 33007 Oviedo, Spain