

Abstract in Arabic

This paper explores the ethical legitimacy of the war in both Carl Von Clausewitz and Richard Norman, and attempts to discuss the dialectical relationship between morality and war in some philosophers of old and recent times, and presents ethical alternatives to unjust wars such as international negotiations and treaties, The wars are unjust and morally .flawed, and moral solutions are given to avoid unjust wars in the future

The importance of this research is :

it presents a philosophical and moral analysis of opposing views on the moral legitimacy of war in two contemporary philosophers. The first is Carl von Clausewitz, a preacher of war who sees war as legitimate and moral. And the second is Richard Norman, who is a proponent of peace and the rejection of wars at all. Therefore, his moral vision was that the war is illegal or morally permissible because it does not Necessary, It is a moral disaster by all standards. Therefore, it adopts the idealistic ethic and the rejection of the utilitarian approach. It also upholds the golden law of Morality, "treat others with what you want to be treated". It sanctifies the value of life, respect for humanity, rejects violence and calls for the value of peace and pacifism. We can see clearly the Contradiction Between the moral values of the two .

The most important results of the research:-

- It discusses a third position on the moral legitimacy of war is **the theory of a just war**. Not all wars are morally justified as Carl von Clausewitz. Nor are all wars morally justifiable as in Richard Norman. Therefore, we must not morally adhere to the military realism theory. The war at Clausewitz, nor the pacifist theory of Richard Norman, but we must morally uphold the theory of just war that we should not always be advocates of war or peace advocates, and therefore we must not accept all wars Fair or unjust) without exception, and not to refuse All wars are unjustified. Morals are morally unjust. Morally justified wars are like moral wars, wars of resistance to aggression, and therefore we must not adhere to the theory of realism or the theory of peace, but to the theory

of just war that emphasizes a just and moral peace. Rejects unjust peace and believes that just war is better than unjust peace.

- We must morally abandon the utilitarian doctrine of war because it is morally condemned because it is contrary to our moral obligations and .duties

Terminology of study:

The theory of Pacifism in war - The realistic theory of war - The utilitarian doctrine of war – The Absolute Ethnical doctrine - Violence - Peace - The theory of just war.